OMB No. 04-R-(028 DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION SEFORT FOR (month/year) FEDERAL RAILROAD AGMINISTRATION February 2002 FALSE PROCEED SIGNAL REPORT DATE March 11, 2002 All individues subject to Regulations of the Federal Railrand Administration shall submit a false present signal separation only, to the Federal Railrand Administration within five days after plates proceed occurs. If no false present occurs during any chiefiles maint, a cepart showing "No Follows" must be filed within ten days after the shill of the meants. REPURTING CARRIER (railrand & region or division) Montana Rail Link Cepies of this form will be furnished upon request to the Department of Transportation, Federal Ratirous Administration, Office of Safety. Washington, D.C. 20566 P.O. Box 16390 WAIL TO Missoula, MT 59808 Federal Railroad Administration Regional Administrator REPORTING OFFICER Selenature (tile) 703 Broadway Suite 650 Director Vancouver wa 98660 Signals & Communications A failure should not be counted more than one time in items 1, 2, 3, and 4; the failure The following obbraviations may be used in the reports A linear special not be considented unit one time in items 1, 2, 3, and 1, and 1 instruc-chould be classified under the nosic system or appliance of which it forms an appen-tial part. E.g.; assume grounds consor a block signal to indicate a false proceed causing corresponding indications of a cab signal system on each train approaching this point, such failures should be included in item 1, Black Systems. A-Amanath EM-Electromachanical AS-Americal block EP-Electropacumelic ACS—Amematic block
ACS—Amematic cen signal
APB—Abvelute permissive Mack
ATC—Amematic train centrel
ATS—Amematic train step
CL—Cular light FP-False process
MB-Mapuel block A folde Brocerd failure is a failure of a system, device or appliance to indicate or function as intended which results in less restriction than intended. M-Mechanical P-Parametic PL-Position light CPL-Color petition light E-Electric SA-S-minus TC-Treffic compoi LOCOMOTIVE DEVICE THAT TYPE OF SYSTEM DAYE LOCATION (city and elete) NUMBER FAILED BLOCK SYSTEMS APE X ITC /26/02 BNSF 1016 nona Eddy. MT AUTO-2 INTERLOCKING MATIC REMOTE MARUAL. AUTOMATIC SYSTEMS ATS ACS

NATURE AND CAUSE OF FAILURE CORRECTIVE ACTION TAKEN

See attached.

⁴ OTHER (specify)

(Il Mare space is required, continue on reverse)

FORM FRA F 6180-14 (6-72)

=P02-8-4

On February 26, 2002, Engineer on Train XPASABE123 reported that while approaching Eastward Intermediate Signal 240, the signal was first observed as displaying a green aspect, then the signal was observed as displaying a flashing yellow aspect. The proper aspect for Signal 240 at this time was flashing yellow account the Eastward Absolute Signals at East Eddy were red.

Signal Department personnel promptly investigated this incident. Personnel checked the electronic log reports for the HLC equipment at East Eddy and West Eddy which revealed the signal system was functioning as intended. Personnel checked the electronic data log reports for Digicon, which revealed the system was functioning as intended. Personnel checked the electronic data logger report for the electrocode equipment at Signal 240, which revealed the signal system was functioning as intended with signal 240 displaying a flashing yellow aspect at the time of occurrence.

Signal Department personnel also performed operational tests, performed relay tests, megging tests, tested relays, performed ground tests and inspected wiring. Subsequent to tests, signal system functioned as intended.

Signal Department personnel were unable to duplicate this alleged false proceed incident. All tests and inspections revealed the signal system functioned as intended.